

**Application No.:** 09/458,602  
**Filing Date:** December 8, 1999

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The interview was conducted by telephone on June 22, 2010 and attended by Examiner Beemnet W. Dada and Applicants' representative Charles Duan.

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claim 1.

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Pat. No. 6,064,174 to Doidge et al. and U.S. Pat. No. 6,253,327 to Zhang et al.

### Proposed Amendments

None.

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants argued that the references failed to teach all the elements of Claim 1, and that Doidge taught away from combination with Zhang, for reasons explained in the Remarks below.

### Results of Interview

The parties agreed that, if the cited references did teach away from combination in the manner that Applicants' representative suggested, then the references did not render Claim 1 unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103. The Examiner indicated he would conduct further analysis of the cited references.